PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 12726801001	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/IB2004/004224	21.12.2004	23.12.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC							
C12P19/04, C12P	P19/98, C08B37/00, C	C12N1/20, C12N 9/10,						
A23L1/054								
Applicant								
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL	DE COLOMBIA							
-	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, inc	cluding this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	5 sheets, as follows:						
sheets of the descrip	otion, claims and/or drawings which have b	peen amended and are the basis for this report and/or						
sheets containing re Instructions).	sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative							
1 1		y considers contain an amendment that goes beyond						
the disclosure in the Box.	e international application as filed, as indi	icated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
b. (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and n	number of electronic carrier(s))						
S. Sent to the International	bareau only) a total of (maleaue type and n	difficulty of electronic carrier(3))						
related thereto, in computer	readable form only as indicated in the S	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the	Box No. I Basis of the report							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
Box No. IV Lack of uni	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
BON 110. 1								
Box No. VI Certain doc	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defe								
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report								
2 I gao massion of the defining	Date of completion							
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ES	Authorized officer							
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/004224

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report				
1.		th regard to the language, this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	ational application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise		
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (Rule 1	2.4)			
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 a				
2.	rece	Vith regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the exercise of the international application, this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to its report):				
		the international application as originally filed/furnished				
	\bowtie	the description:				
		pages _1,2,4 8-10,12,15,19,2		as originally filed/furnished		
		pages*3,5,6,7,11,13,14,16,17,18	received by this Authority on			
		pages*	received by this Authority on			
	\boxtimes	the claims:				
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished		
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19		
		nos.* 20-24	received by this Authority on	04.10.2005		
		nos.*	received by this Authority on			
		the drawings:				
		sheets		as originally filed/furnished		
		sheets*	received by this Authority on			
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppl				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
			the claims, nos.			
			7			
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the am they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure a	endments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since		
		the description, pages				
		the claims, nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
*	If ite	tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "s				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/004224

Вох	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty	Cidillis				
		Claims	NO			
	Inventiv	ve step (IS) Claims 1-18	YES			
		Claims	NO			
	Industria	al applicability (IA) Claims 1-18	YES			
		Claims				
2.	Citations an	nd explanations (Rule 70.7)				
		Documents taken into consideration:				
	D1:	Manca; M. C. et al. Milchwissenschaft, 1985, vol.				
		40 (7) 1985				
	D2:	WO 0157234 A2 2001				
	D3:	De Vuyst, L. D. et al. International Dairy				
		Journal, vol. 11 (9) 2001				
	1.	Novelty and inventive step				
		Independent claim 1 of the present patent				
		application relates to a glucose and fructose				
		biopolymer (prepared using an enzymatic				
		preparation that produces Lactococcus lactis				
		strain NRLL B-300656). The claim includes a series				
		of physical and chemical properties of the				
		biopolymer, including the relative proportions of				
		the glucose and fructose monosaccharides, namely				
		0.2 to 0.7 , and the molecular weight of the				
		biopolymer, namely 900 to 1100 Kd.				
		Claim 2 relates to the method for making the				

enzymatic preparation from microorganism

citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

Lactococcus lactis NRLL B-300656. Claims 3 to 7 are dependent claims in which details of the production method are specified. The enzymatic preparation, which has glucosyl transferase and fructosyl transferase activity, is used to achieve the previously claimed biopolymer.

Claim 8 relates to the method for making the biopolymer of claim 1 by incubating the enzymatic preparation obtained in claims 2 to 7. The method comprises incubating the enzymatic extract under suitable conditions then recovering and purifying the biopolymer. Claim 9 specifies the conditions under which the enzymatic preparation is incubated, and claims 10 and 11 relate to two methods for recovering and purifying the biopolymer.

Claims 12 to 15 relate to *Lactococcus lactis* bacterial strain NRLL B-300656. Claim 15 relates to the same microorganism but in preserved form.

Finally, claims 16 to 18 mention the possible uses of the biopolymer in industry.

Document **D1** describes an extracellular polysaccharide with around 1000 hexoses, consisting of glucose and fructose, with a ratio of glucose to fructose of 0.5, a value that falls within the same range as that of the biopolymer of the present application. However, the molecular weight of said heteropolysaccharide is 197

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

kilodaltons, i.e. lower than that of the invention. The microorganism that produces the biopolymer is a lactic acid bacterium but of a different species, specifically *Lactococcus bulgaricus*.

A Lactococcus lactis strain that produces a heteropolysaccharide but consists of glucose and galactose is described in document **D2**. In addition to other genes that code for enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of the heteropolysaccharide, the microorganism has genes that code for enzymes galactosyl transferase and glucosyl transferase.

Document **D3** is a review of heteropolysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria. Most of the biopolymers consist of glucose, galactose and ramnose, and fructose was found to be present in only one instance. The methods for biosynthesising said compounds require, in addition to the transferases for the component monosaccharides of the heteropolysaccharide, a set of enzymes having other functions such as carrier enzymes, polymerising enzymes, etc.

No prior art document describes a biopolymer such as that of claim 1, or a microorganism from species *Lactococcus lactis* capable of producing an enzymatic preparation having glucosyl transferase and fructosyl transferase activity.

Furthermore, the prior art does not contain indications that suggest that a microorganism from

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/004224

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

species *Lactococcus lactis* might produce said biopolymer or said enzymatic preparation.

As a result, independent claims 1, 2 and 12 are considered to comply with the requirements of novelty and inventive step. It follows that dependent claims 3 to 7 and 13 to 15 are also novel and involve an inventive step.

Both the method for preparing a novel biopolymer (claim 8 and dependent claims 9 to 11) and the uses thereof (claims 16 to 18) can also be considered to be novel and inventive.

2. Industrial applicability

All of the claims (1 to 18) are industrially applicable.

PCT/IB2004/004224

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1. Some of the claims are not supported by the description, or there is an inconsistency between the content of the description and that of the claims.

For example:

- Claim 3: It is indicated on page 5 of the description that the activation of the microorganism is carried out at 20 to 40 °C. A temperature of 30 °C is mentioned on page 11. No further information is provided in the description in support of a claim in which the temperature is 25 °C.
- Claim 4: the fermentation step is mentioned on page 5 and the aeration rate (0.1 to 1 vvm) and pH (5-9) are specified. The fermentation conditions are repeated in the table on page 13. The aeration rate given in the table falls outside the previously indicated range. The time given in the table is 6 to 12 hours, whereas the interval claimed is 12 to 36 hours, which thus falls outside said range.
- Claim 5: Centrifuging to recover the enzyme is carried out at 3000 to 10000 rpm on page 6 but at 3000 to 7000 rpm in claim 5.
- Claim 6: On page 11, the preinoculum preparation time is 12 to 24 hours on page 11, and not 12 to 36 hours as in claim 6.
- Claim 7: The concentrations of the components of

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

the medium are specified, but in the final part it is indicated that they are incubated for 10 to 36 hours and the pH conditions, etc., are repeated. To which step does this time interval refer and where is it found in the description?

- Claim 10: The air temperature in the biopolymer drying step is 50 to 80 °C in the claim, but in the only indication provided on this matter in the description is that the temperature is 60 °C on page 7 and 60 to 80 °C on page 14.

- 2. Lack of clarity of some of the claims.
 - The claim 1: The preamble of the claim is unclear. The claim is a product claim relating to a material (a biopolymer) characterised in terms of its physical and chemical properties. The method for preparing said material does not define features of the material and is thus irrelevant to this claim. If a reference to the origin of the biopolymer is to be retained, it should be clarified, e.g. in accordance with the wording on page 3, lines 1-3: "A biopolymer produced by an enzymatic extract having glucosyl transferase and fructosyl transferase activity prepared from Lactococcus lactis strain NRLL B-300656", characterised in that the biopolymer...
 - Claims 16 to 18 relate to uses of the biopolymer and should be worded accordingly.